Figure caption

- Figure 1.1. Schematic figure of complex [Co(bipy)(NO₃)₂(CH₃CN)]
- Figure 1.2. Schematic figure of complex [Co(phen)(NO₃)₂(CH₃CN)]
- Figure 1.3. Schematic figure of complex [Co(III)(bipy)₂(N₃)₂]Cl
- Figure 1.4. Schematic figure of complex [Cu(bipy)₂(NO₃)]ClO₄
- Figure 1.5. Schematic figure of complex [Cu(phen)₂(H₂O)](ClO₄)₂
- Figure 1.6. Schematic figure of complex [Cu₂(ox)(phen)₂(H₂O)₂](NO₃)₂
- Figure 1.7. Schematic figure of complex trans-(H2ben)[Co(2,5-pdc)2(H2O)2]·4H2O
- Figure 1.8. Schematic figure of complex $\{[Cu(2,5-pdc)(H_2O)_4]\cdot H_2O\}$
- Figure 1.9. Schematic figure of complex $[Cu(\mu_4-abdc) (DMF)]_n$
- Figure 1.10. Schematic figure of complex [Co₂(3,5-pdc)₂(bpy)₂(H₂O)₄]·4H₂O
- Figure 1.11. δ bond formation and dimeric structure of copper(II) acetate monohydrate.
- Figure 1.12. linear superexchange pathway.
- Figure 1.13. 90° superexchange pathway.
- Figure 1.14a. Schematic representation of Plastocyanin.
- Figure 1.14b. Schematic representation of galactose oxidase.
- Figure 1.14c. Schematic representation of Oxyhemocyanine.
- Figure 1.15. Schematic figure of seven dinuclear Cu(II) complexes.
- Figure 2.1. 1 H NMR (a) and 13 C NMR (b) spectra of H₄L.
- Figure 2.2. View of molecular models built from the experimental crystal structures of 1 (a) and 2 (b) and used in NEVPT2 calculations to evaluate the *zfs* parameters, *D* and *E*. Cobalt, nitrogen, oxygen, carbon and hydrogen atoms are displayed in magenta, light blue, red, grey and pink colours, respectively.

Figure 2.3. Crystal packing of 1: the *m*-phth anion, the coordinated aqua ligand O2w, and lattice water molecules O4w-O9w (all at half occupancy) share the same area owing to the crystallographic symmetry center represented by the black dot.

Figure 2.4. ORTEP drawing (40 % probability ellipsoids) of the $[Co_2(H_2L)_2(H_2O)-(m-phth)]^$ anion of **1**. The labels of the C atoms are omitted for clarity.

Figure 2.5. ORTEP drawing (50 % probability ellipsoids) of the centrosymmetric molecular structure of **2** (labels of C atoms omitted for clarity).

Figure 2.6. Thermal dependence of $\chi_M T$ of **1** under applied dc fields of 0.25 (T < 30 K) and 5.0 kG ($T \ge 30$ K): () experimental data; (–) best-fit curve (see text). The inset shows the dependence of M with H for **1** at 2.0, 2.5, 3.0, 4.0, 5.0, 7.0, 8.0, 9.0 and 10.0 K (from black to palest grey dots). The solid lines are the best-fit curves (see text).

Figure 2.7. Thermal dependence of $\chi_M T$ of **2** under applied dc fields of 0.25 (T < 30 K) and 5.0 kG ($T \ge 30$ K): () experimental data; (–) best-fit curve (see text). The inset shows the dependence of M with H for **2** at 2.0, 2.5, 3.0, 4.0, 5.0, 7.0, 8.0, 9.0 and 10.0 K (from black to palest grey dots). The solid lines are the best-fit curves (see text).

Figure 2.8. Dependence of M with H/T of **1** in a temperature range starting at 2.0, 2.5, 3.0, 4.0, 5.0, 7.0, 8.0, 9.0 and 10.0 K (from black to palest grey dots).

Figure 2.9. Dependence of M with H/T of **2** in a temperature range starting at 2.0, 2.5, 3.0, 4.0, 5.0, 7.0, 8.0, 9.0 and 10.0 K (from black to palest grey dots).

Figure 2.10. Temperature dependence of (a) $\chi_{M'}$ and (b) $\chi_{M''}$ (b) of **1** in a dc applied static field of 1.0 kG and under ±4.0 G oscillating field at frequencies in the range 1.0–10 kHz. (c) The Cole–Cole plot at 5.0–7.0 K and (d) the Arrhenius plot in the high-temperature region for an applied static field of 1.0 kG.

Figure 2.11. Temperature dependence of (a) $\chi_{M'}$ and (b) $\chi_{M''}$ (b) of **2** in a dc applied static field of 1.0 kG and under ±4.0 G oscillating field at frequencies in the range 1.0–10 kHz. (c)

The Cole–Cole plot at 6.0–8.0 K and (d) the Arrhenius plot in the high-temperature region for an applied static field of 1.0 kG.

Figure 2.12. Temperature dependence of χ_{M} (a) and χ_{M} (b) of **1** in a dc applied static field of 0.5 kG and under ±4.0 G oscillating field at frequencies in the range of 1.0–10 kHz. The Cole-Cole plot (c) at 5.0–7.0 K and the Arrhenius plot in the high temperature region (d) for an applied static field of 0.5 kG.

Figure 2.13. Temperature dependence of χ_{M} (a) and χ_{M} (b) of **1** in a dc applied static field of 2.5 kG and under ±4.0 G oscillating field at frequencies in the range of 1.0–10 kHz. The Cole-Cole plot (c) at 5.0–7.0 K and the Arrhenius plot in the high temperature region (d) for an applied static field of 2.5 kG.

Figure 2.14. Temperature dependence of χ_M (a) and χ_M (b) of **2** in a dc applied static field of 0.5 kG and under ±4.0 G oscillating field at frequencies in the range of 1.0–10 kHz. The Cole-Cole plot (c) at 6.0–8.0 K and the Arrhenius plot in the high temperature region (d) for an applied static field of 0.5 kG.

Figure 2.15. Temperature dependence of χ_{M} (a) and χ_{M} (b) of **2** in a dc applied static field of 2.5 kG and under ±4.0 G oscillating field at frequencies in the range of 1.0–10 kHz. The Cole-Cole plot (c) at 6.0–8.0 K and the Arrhenius plot in the high temperature region (d) for an applied static field of 2.5 kG.

Figure 2.16. IR spectra of H_4L , 1 and 2.

Figure 2.17. UV-Vis absorption spectra of H₄L, **1** and **2**.

Figure 2.18. ESI-mass spectra of complex 1 (a) and 2 (b) recorded in methanol.

Figure 2.19. Experimental and simulated X-ray powder diffraction pattern of complex 1.

Figure 2.20. Experimental and simulated X-ray powder diffraction pattern of complex 2.

Figure 3.1. ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectrum of H₂L¹ recorded in CDCl₃.

Figure 3.2. 1 H (a) and 13 C (b) NMR spectra of H₄L² recorded in d₆-DMSO.

Figure 3.3. Molecular structure of complex **1** (dotted lines indicate long Cu-O bond distances).

Figure 3.4. Molecular structure of complex **2** (dotted lines indicate long Cu-O bond distances). The water molecule bound at each copper ion (Cu-Ow=2.797(6) Å) not shown for sake of clarity.

Figure 3.5. Complex 1 viewed down the S_4 symmetry axis and a perspective side view (Hatoms and ethyl groups not shown).

Figure 3.6. Complex 2 viewed down the S_4 symmetry axis and a perspective side view (Hatoms, methoxy and CH₂OH groups removed for clarity). The ligands arrangement is similar to that detected in all the complexes structurally characterized and reported to date.

Figure 3.7. Electronic spectra of ligand H_2L^1 (blue) and H_4L^2 (black).

Figure 3.8. IR spectrum of complex 1 (blue) and 2 (black).

Figure 3.9. Absorption (left) and fluorescence (right) spectra of complex 1.

Figure 3.10. Absorption (left) and fluorescence (right) spectra of complex 2.

Figure 3.11. Thermal dependence of the $\chi_M T$ for complexes **1** and **2**. Points indicate experimental data and straight lines represent the best fitting curves obtained.

Figure 4.1. Molecular structure of one of the two independent complex cations (A) of **1** with labels of heteroatoms.

Figure 4.2. Molecular structure scheme of the complex cation B of **1** with labels of heteroatoms.

Figure 4.3. The distorted cubane-like core of complex **1**.

Figure 4.4. Layered structure of compound **1** formed by nitrate, 3,5-dicarboxylate pyridine and water molecules connected by H-bonds.

Figure 4.5. 1D coordination polymer of **2** viewed down b axis.

Figure 4.6. Molecular structure of the tetranuclear unit for complex 2, located on a crystallographic two-fold axis. Atom O1 represents the carboxylic oxygen of the bridging phenylenediacrylate anion.

Figure 4.7. Crystal packing of complex 2 highlighting the position of the lattice molecules.

Figure 4.8. Absorption spectra of complexes 1(red) and 2 (black).

Figure 4.9. Emission spectra of complexes 1(red) and 2 (black).

Figure 4.10. IR spectrum of complexes 1 (red) and 2 (black).

Figure 4.11. Plot of magnetic susceptibility χ as function of temperature for complex **1** at 0.1 T. The black line represents the model described in the text assuming no free moments, the red line includes a small number of independent *s*=1/2 spins (left-hand scale in SI units and right-hand scale in CGS units).

Figure 4.12. Plot of magnetic susceptibility χ as function of temperature for complex 2 at 0.1 T. The black line represents the model described in the text assuming no free moments, the red line includes a small number of independent *s*=1/2 spins. Data were taken with field normal to the crystal planes (left-hand scale in SI units and right-hand scale in CGS units).

Figure 4.13. Plot of χT vs temperature T for complex **1**, (χ is the linear magnetic susceptibility). The dotted line indicates a system of independent s=1/2 spins with a g-factor=2 (eight Cu²⁺ ions in asymmetric unit of complex **1**). The left-hand scale is in SI units and the right-hand in CGS units.

Figure 4.14. Plot of χT vs temperature T for complex 2, (χ is the linear magnetic susceptibility). The dotted line indicates a system of independent s=1/2 spins with a g-factor = 2 (four Cu²⁺ ions in asymmetric unit of complex 2). The left hand scale is in SI units and the right-hand in CGS units.

Figure 4.15. Schematic diagrams of (a) the doubly-open cubane-like structure of complex **2**, and of (b) the rectangular tetramer model of antiferromagnetically coupled spins.

Figure 4.16. Magnetization of (a) complex **1** and (b) complex **2** at various temperatures. Circles are experimental data and lines represent the Brillouin function model described above.

Figure 4.17. Cyclic voltammogram of complexes 1 and 2.

Figure 5.1. Molecular structure of complex 2 with labels of hetero-atoms. The same scheme applies also to complex 1.

Figure 5.2. Layered structure in crystal packing of complex 1 formed by ClO_4 anions and water molecules connected by H-bonds.

Figure 5.3. Layered structure in crystal packing of compound **2** formed by terephthalate anions and water molecules connected by H-bonds.

Figure 5.4. Electronic spectra of complexes 1 and 2 in methanol.

Figure 5.5. Emission spectra of complexes 1 and 2 in methanol.

Figure 5.6. IR spectra of complexes 1 and 2.

Figure 5.7. ESI-MS spectrum of complex 1 (recorded in methanol).

Figure 5.8. ESI-MS spectrum of complex 2 (recorded in methanol).

Figure 5.9. Increase of absorbance around 400 nm after the addition of 100 equiv of 3,5-

DTBC to a methanolic solution of complex **1**. The spectra were recorded at intervals of 5 min.

Figure 5.10. Increase in the absorbance around 400 nm after the addition of 100 equiv of 3, 5-

DTBC to a methanolic solution of complex 2. The spectra were recorded at intervals of 5 min.

Figure 5.11. Plot of $\log(A_{\infty}-A_{0})/(A_{\infty}-A_{t})$ vs time for the catalyzed oxidation of 3,5-DTBC with complex **1**.

Figure 5.12. Plot of $\log(A_{\infty}-A_{0})/(A_{\infty}-A_{t})$ vs time for the catalyzed oxidation of 3,5-DTBC with complex 2.

Figure 5.13. Initial rates *vs* substrate concentration for the 3,5-DTBC to 3,5-DTBQ oxidation reaction catalyzed by complexes **1** and **2** in methanol.

Figure 5.14. Lineweaver-Burk plot of complexes 1 and 2.

Figure 5.15. ESI-MS spectrum of the 1:100 mixture of complex **1** and 3,5-DTBC (in methanol).

Figure 5.16. ESI-MS spectrum of the 1:100 mixture of complex **2** and 3,5-DTBC (in methanol).

Figure 5.17. Change of the emission spectra of BSA (3 ml, 5.68 μ M aqueous solution) upon gradual addition of 10 μ L of an aqueous solution (10 μ M) of complex **1** at room temperature. The arrow indicates the increase of the complex concentration.

Figure 5.18. Change of the emission spectra of HSA (3 ml, 1.53 μ M aqueous solution) upon gradual addition of 10 μ L of an aqueous solution (10 μ M) of complex **1** at room temperature. The arrow indicates the increase of the complex concentration.

Figure 5.19. Change of emission spectra of BSA (3 ml, 5.68 μ M aqueous solution) upon gradual addition of 10 μ L of aqueous solution 10 μ M of complex 2 at room temperature. The arrow indicates the increase of complex concentration.

Figure 5.20. Change of emission spectra of HSA (3 ml, 1.53 μ M aqueous solution) upon gradual addition of 10 μ L of aqueous solution 10 μ M of complex **2** at room temperature. The arrow indicates the increase of complex concentration.

Figure 5.21. Stern-Volmer plot of complexes 1 and 2 with BSA.

Figure 5.22. Stern-Volmer plot of complexes 1 and 2 with HSA.

Figure 5.23. Change of the electronic absorption spectra of complex **1** (2 ml, 0.19 μ M) upon the gradual addition of 10 μ L of an aqueous solution (6.66 μ M) of CT-DNA. Inset: Plot of [DNA] / (ϵ_a - ϵ_f) *vs* [DNA]. The arrow shows the changes in absorbance with the increase of DNA concentration. Figure 5.24. Change of the electronic absorption spectrum of complex 2 (2 ml, 0.19 μ M) upon the gradual addition of 10 μ L of aqueous solution 6.66 μ M of CT-DNA. Inset: Plot of [DNA]/(ϵ_a - ϵ_f) *vs* [DNA]. The arrow indicates the increase of DNA concentration.

Figure 5.25. Fluorescence quenching curves of EB bound to CT-DNA upon gradual addition of complex **1** (10 μ L, 10 μ M). Inset: Stern-Volmer plot of the fluorescence titration.

Figure 5.26. Fluorescence quenching curves of EB bound to CT-DNA upon gradual addition of complex **2** (10 μ L, 10 μ M). Inset: Stern-Volmer plot of the fluorescence titration.

Figure 5.27. Cyclic voltammograms of complexes 1 and 2 (~ 1×10^{-3} M + 0.1 M NEt₄ClO₄).

(Reference electrode: Ag/AgCl. Scan rate: 25 mV/s).